Case 3:05-cv-00051-DHB-WLB Docu	iment 10 Filed 11/23	/05 Page 1 of 4
IN THE UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COU	RT FILED
FOR THE SOUTHER	RN DISTRICT OF GEOR	FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT AUGUSTA DIV.
DUBLIN DIVISION		2005 I'OV 23 M 9: 58
SABULON CUELLAR CARDENAS,)	CLEM L. Flender
Petitioner,)	bu. MST. OF SA.
v .) CV 305-025	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,		
Respondent.) 	
MANUEL DEL REAL PRADA,)	
Petitioner,		
v.) CV 305-029	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,)	
Respondent.)) 	•
JUAN NAVARRO-DUQUE,)	
Petitioner,	, ,	
v.) CV 305-033	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,)	
Respondent.)	
RUBEN DARIO OCAMPO,)	
Petitioner,)	
v.) CV 305-037	
MICHAEL PUGH, Warden,)	
Respondent.)	
WILLIAM YEPES ALZATE,)	
Petitioner,)	

ase 5.05-cv-00051-D11D-vvLD D000		1 11ed 11/23/03 Fage 2 01 4
v.)	CV 305-043
))	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,)	
Respondent.)	
DEVON BENNETT,)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)	CV 305-047
MICHAEL PUGH, Warden,)	
Respondent.)	
LUIS ARBOLEDA,)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)	CV 305-049
MICHAEL PUGH, Warden,)	
Respondent.)	
TUAN CARLOS MESA,)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)	CV 305-051
MICHAEL V. PUGH, Warden,)	
Respondent.)	
GIOVANNY FERNANDEZ,		
Petitioner,)	
V.)	CV 305-053
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,)	
•)	

Case 3:05-cv-00051-DHB-WLB	Document 10	Filed 11/23/05	Page 3 of 4
MIGUEL A. CHAVEZ,)		
Petitioner,)		
v.)	CV 305-055	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS	,		
Respondent.	,		
ALFONSO GIL,)	·	
Petitioner,))		•
v.	j	CV 305-059	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS	, <u> </u>		
Respondent.	<u> </u>		

ORDER

Petitioners brought the above-captioned petitions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Petitioners argue that the Federal Bureau of Prisons has improperly calculated their good conduct time credits. The United States Magistrate Judge has entered Reports and Recommendations in each of the captioned matters, correctly explaining that Petitioners' argument has been explicitly rejected by the Eleventh Circuit, and indeed by nearly every court to consider the issue. Therefore, the Magistrate Judge has recommended that each of the captioned petitions be denied. None of Petitioners have objected.

After a careful, *de novo* review of each of the files in the above-captioned cases, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Reports and Recommendations to which no objections have been filed. Accordingly, the Reports and Recommendations of the Magistrate Judge are **ADOPTED** as the Court's opinions in each of the above-captioned cases. Thus, each of the captioned petitions are **DENIED**. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** each

Case 3:05-cv-00051-DHB-WLB Document 10 Filed 11/23/05 Page 4 of 4

of the captioned cases and to FILE a copy of this Order in each case.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of November, 2005, at Augusta, Georgia.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDG